Ray, Tom:

Though I cannot expect you to be interested in my little discoveries, I sometimes feel a need to share them with someone, and you have the misfortune to be "it."

While looking to the "asymptotic direction vectors" on the hyperboloid $x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 1$; *i.e.*, to the 2-vectors that satisfy

$$(\boldsymbol{a},\mathbb{H}\,\boldsymbol{a})=0$$

where

$$\mathbb{H} = \begin{pmatrix} v^2 - 1 & -uv \\ -uv & u^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

derives from the $2^{\rm nd}$ fundamental form, I was led to the following "Pythagorean identities"

$$(v^2 - 1)^2 + (uv + \sqrt{u^2 + v^2 - 1})^2 = (u + v\sqrt{u^2 + v^2 - 1})^2$$
$$(v^2 - 1)^2 + (uv - \sqrt{u^2 + v^2 - 1})^2 = (u - v\sqrt{u^2 + v^2 - 1})^2$$

In the case $\{u, v\} = \{3, 1\}$ the first identity gives $8^2 + 6^2 = 10^2$; *i.e.*, the $\{3, 4, 5\}$ triangle, but when u and v are assigned other integer values the radicals (in every case, so far as I am aware¹) mess things up. These identities, in other words, do not—and are not intended—to serve like Euclid's

$$(m^2 - n^2)^2 + (2mn)^2 = (m^2 + n^2)^2$$

Another curiosity: let² $P(x, \tau) = 1 + 3x\tau - \tau^3$. Then

$$P(x, -\tau) = \tau^3 \cdot P(x/\tau, -1/\tau)$$

which is curiously reminiscent of Jacobi's identity

$$\vartheta_3(z,\tau) = A \cdot \vartheta_3(z/\tau, -1/\tau) \quad \text{where} \quad A = \sqrt{i/\tau} e^{z^2/i\pi\tau}$$

$$P(x,h) = Q(x/h,h) = -h^3Q(-x,1/h)$$

¹ The issue hinges on finding integer solutions of $u^2 + v^2 - 1 = w^2$, a problem that I suspect was solved centuries ago.

² I have sketched elsewhere the train of thought that led Ahmed Sebbar from the 2-dimensional theory of unimodular circulant matrices (Pell's problem) to interest in the polynomial $1 - 2xh + h^2$, and in three dimensions to the polynomial $Q(x, h) = 1 + 3xh - h^3$. The polynomial P(x, h) arises from

ADDENDUM: Concerning the "problem that must have been solved centuries ago," let

$$w(u,v) = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2 - 1}$$

By quick Mathematica search $(1\leqslant u\leqslant v\leqslant 20)$

$$w(4,7) = 8$$

$$w(5,5) = 7$$

$$w(6,17) = 18$$

$$w(7,11) = 13$$

$$w(8,9) = 12$$

$$w(9,19) = 21$$

$$w(10,15) = 18$$

$$w(11,13) = 17$$

$$w(13,19) = 23$$

$$w(14,17) = 22$$

and trivially w(1, v) is an integer for all v (ditto w(u, 1), not just in the case u = 3 cited). Reversing u and v typically leads to a different Pythagorean triple.

2